

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/583,275	HONDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kailash C. Srivastava	1657	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Kailash C. Srivastava, Examiner.

(2) Mr. Marc S. Weiner, Applicants' Representative.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Claims Amended on 07/02/2007, already of record.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

6-8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kailash C. Srivastava/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1657

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested clarification from the Representative re the dependency for Claims 7-8 since in the amendment filed 07/02/2007, Claim 6 has been cancelled and Claims 7-8 depend from the cancelled claim. The representative requested that the Examiner treat Claims 7-8 as if they depended from Claim 1. Examiner will mention this ambiguity for Claims 7-8.